

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil d	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS			
Andrea Leelike			Brandon Bardowsky, Aja Bardowsky, Ebony Griggs, Lyft			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Dallas County, TX (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			NOTE: IN LAND CO	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Seminole County, FL (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Number	·)	Attorneys (If Known)			
	Esq Saltz Mongelu		Allison Perry, E	sq.; Andrew Benedict	., Esg. and Nicholas	
	., Phila., PA 19103, (•		Daniel Brown, Esq.	, - 1	
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in (One Box Only)	II. CITIZENSHIP OF PI (For Diversity Cases Only)		(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)	
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N	Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	TF DEF	PTF DEF incipal Place 4	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizen of Another State	2 Incorporated and I of Business In A		
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 Foreign Nation	6 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	Γ (Place an "X" in One Box On	ly)	5 ,	Click here for: Nature of S	Suit Code Descriptions.	
CONTRACT		RTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgmen 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability x 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee -	710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act	422 Appeal 28 USC 158	375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit (15 USC 1681 or 1692) 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
		Conditions of Confinement				
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i		-	-		.	
1 Original Proceeding Sta		Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transfe Another (specify	District Litigation		
	28 USC 1332	tute under which you are	filing (Do not cite jurisdictional stat	utes unless diversity):		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of car	use: rising out of motor vehicle	accident.			
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.		DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:		
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	RNEY OF RECORD			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		/s/ Allison Perry				
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE	
ALCERT III		711 D11110 II I	JODGE	MAG. JUI		

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

 United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box. Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

 Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)
- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
 - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title <u>28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a)</u>. Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.

PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

 Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff: Andrea Leelike, 4121 McKinney Ave., Unit 24, Dallas, TX 75204

Address of Defendant: Lyft, Inc., 185 Berry Street, Suite 5000, San Francisco, CA 94107

Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 16th and Market Streets, Philadelphia, PA

RELA	ATED CASE, IF ANY:		
Case	Number: Judge:		Date Terminated:
Civil	cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to any of th	ne following questions:	
1. I	1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year Previously terminated action in this court?		Yes No 🗸
	. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit Yes No No		
	Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a pate numbered case pending or within one year previously termin	Yes No 🗸	
	s this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social sec ase filed by the same individual?	urity appeal, or pro se civil rights	Yes No V
	fy that, to my knowledge, the within case \square is / \square is nourt except as noted above.	related to any case now pending of	or within one year previously terminated action in
DATE	AI	lison Perry	306568
	At	ttorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff	Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)
A	Federal Question Cases: Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contract, FELA Jones Act-Personal Injury Antitrust Patent Labor-Management Relations Civil Rights Habeas Corpus Securities Act(s) Cases Social Security Review Cases All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):	2. Airplane Pers 3. Assault, Defa 4. Marine Person 5. Motor Vehicle 6. Other Person 7. Products Liab 8. Products Liab 9. All other Dive (Please specify)	ntract and Other Contracts onal Injury mation nal Injury e Personal Injury al Injury (Please specify): tility oility — Asbestos
I,		e best of my knowledge and belief, the	

Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff

NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.

Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)

ANDREA LEELIKE

CIVIL ACTION NO.

VS.

BRANDON BARDOWSKY, AJA BARDOWSKY, EBONY GRISS, LYFT, INC. AND JOHN DOE 1-4

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT FORM OF DEFENDANT LYFT, INC.

Lyft, Inc. ("Lyft") is a publicly held corporation traded on the Nasdaq Global Select Market with no parent corporation. Based on Lyft's knowledge from publicly available U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission filings, no publicly held corporation or entity owns 10% or more of Lyft's outstanding common stock.

	Respectfully submitted,
Date:	s/Allison Perry
	Allison L. Perry, Esquire (PA ID No.: 306568) aperry@dmclaw.com

Dickie, McCamey & Chilcote, P.C. 1650 Arch Street, Suite 2110 Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: 215-925-2289 Attorneys for Defendant, Lyft, Inc.

Casase222-22/-0.4248638MYDoDouctement 1Filedida0/1225/222/22Pagagedf 8585

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

:

CIVIL ACTION

(215) 925-2289	(888) 811-7144	aperry@dmclaw.com ——	
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for	
	Allison L. Perry	Lyft, Inc.	
(f) Standard Management -	- Cases that do not fall into any	one of the other tracks.	()
commonly referred to a	Cases that do not fall into tracks s complex and that need special side of this form for a detailed e	or intense management by	()
(d) Asbestos – Cases involve exposure to asbestos.	ving claims for personal injury o	or property damage from	()
(c) Arbitration – Cases requ	uired to be designated for arbitra	ation under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	(x)
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.			()
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases	brought under 28 U.S.C. § 224	<u>1</u> through § 2255.	()
SELECT ONE OF THE F	OLLOWING CASE MANAG	EMENT TRACKS:	
plaintiff shall complete a Ca filing the complaint and serv side of this form.) In the designation, that defendant the plaintiff and all other pa	ase Management Track Designa we a copy on all defendants. (See event that a defendant does no shall, with its first appearance,	Reduction Plan of this court, counsition Form in all civil cases at the time \$ 1:03 of the plan set forth on the rest agree with the plaintiff regarding submit to the clerk of court and ser k Designation Form specifying the ed.	ne of verse said ve on
Brandon Bardowsky, e	et al. :	NO.	
v.	:		

(Civ. 660) 10/02

Andrea Leelike

Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan Section 1:03 - Assignment to a Management Track

- (a) The clerk of court will assign cases to tracks (a) through (d) based on the initial pleading.
- (b) In all cases not appropriate for assignment by the clerk of court to tracks (a) through (d), the plaintiff shall submit to the clerk of court and serve with the complaint on all defendants a case management track designation form specifying that the plaintiff believes the case requires Standard Management or Special Management. In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.
- (c) The court may, on its own initiative or upon the request of any party, change the track assignment of any case at any time.
- (d) Nothing in this Plan is intended to abrogate or limit a judicial officer's authority in any case pending before that judicial officer, to direct pretrial and trial proceedings that are more stringent than those of the Plan and that are designed to accomplish cost and delay reduction.
- (e) Nothing in this Plan is intended to supersede Local Civil Rules 40.1 and 72.1, or the procedure for random assignment of Habeas Corpus and Social Security cases referred to magistrate judges of the court.

SPECIAL MANAGEMENT CASE ASSIGNMENTS (See §1.02 (e) Management Track Definitions of the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan)

Special Management cases will usually include that class of cases commonly referred to as "complex litigation" as that term has been used in the Manuals for Complex Litigation. The first manual was prepared in 1969 and the Manual for Complex Litigation Second, MCL 2d was prepared in 1985. This term is intended to include cases that present unusual problems and require extraordinary treatment. See §0.1 of the first manual. Cases may require special or intense management by the court due to one or more of the following factors: (1) large number of parties; (2) large number of claims or defenses; (3) complex factual issues; (4) large volume of evidence; (5) problems locating or preserving evidence; (6) extensive discovery; (7) exceptionally long time needed to prepare for disposition; (8) decision needed within an exceptionally short time; and (9) need to decide preliminary issues before final disposition. It may include two or more related cases. Complex litigation typically includes such cases as antitrust cases; cases involving a large number of parties or an unincorporated association of large membership; cases involving requests for injunctive relief affecting the operation of large business entities; patent cases; copyright and trademark cases; common disaster cases such as those arising from aircraft crashes or marine disasters; actions brought by individual stockholders; stockholder's derivative and stockholder's representative actions; class actions or potential class actions; and other civil (and criminal) cases involving unusual multiplicity or complexity of factual issues. See §0.22 of the first Manual for Complex Litigation and Manual for Complex Litigation Second, Chapter 33.

ANDREA LEELIKE :

CIVIL ACTION NO.

VS.

:

BRANDON BARDOWSKY, AJA BARDOWSKY, EBONY GRISS,

LYFT, INC. AND JOHN DOE 1-4 :

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

TO: THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Defendant, Lyft, Inc. ("Lyft") by and through its attorneys, Dickie, McCamey & Chilcote, P.C., hereby files this Notice of Removal of the above-captioned matter from the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County, the jurisdiction which is now pending, to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, and in support thereof avers as follows:

I. STATEMENT OF FACTS AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

- A. Allegations in Plaintiff's Complaint.
- This action was commenced with the filing of a Complaint in the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County, Pennsylvania at July Term, 2022, No. 364. A copy of Plaintiff's Complaint is attached as Exhibit A.
- 2. Plaintiff Andrea Leelike ("Plaintiff") is asserting a claim for personal injury and damages arising out of an alleged motor vehicle accident on August 14, 2020 in the City and County of Philadelphia. On the aforesaid date, Plaintiff was a passenger in a vehicle operated by Defendant Ebony Griggs (improperly named as Ebony Griss) ("Defendant Griggs"), which was

struck by a vehicle owned and/or operated by Defendant Brandon Bardowsky ("Defendant B. Bardowsky") and/or Aja Bardowsky ("Defendant A. Bardowsky"). <u>Id.</u> at ¶¶ 7-9.

- 3. At the time this action was commenced and continuing to the present, Lyft is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 185 Berry Street, Suite 5000, San Francisco, California 94107.
- 4. Upon information and belief, at the time this action was commenced and continuing to the present, Plaintiff is an adult individual residing at 4121 McKinney Avenue, Unit 24, Dallas, Texas 75204, who is a citizen and domiciliary of Texas. Id. at ¶ 1 and Civil Cover Sheet.
- 5. It is alleged in the Complaint that Defendant B. Bardowsky is an adult individual and citizen of New Jersey, residing at 24 S. Summit Avenue, Pitman, New Jersey 08071. <u>Id.</u> at ¶ 2.
- 6. It is alleged in the Complaint that Defendant A. Bardowsky is an adult individual and citizen of New Jersey, residing at 128 E. Crossing Drive, Mount Royal, New Jersey 08061.

 Id. at ¶ 3.
- 7. Although it is alleged in the Complaint that Defendant Griggs is an adult individual and citizen of Pennsylvania, residing at 1422 W. Rockland Street, Apartment B4, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19141 (<u>Id.</u> at ¶ 4), Defendant Griggs confirmed in her Answer, filed on September 12, 2022, that she resides in New Jersey (<u>see infra</u>, Section I.B, ¶ 12).
- 8. Plaintiff alleges that, as a result of the subject accident, she sustained disc bulging at C4-5, C5-6 and C6-7, a left shoulder sprain/strain, aggravation of cervical degenerative disc disease, a lumbar sprain/strain and headaches. <u>Id.</u> at ¶ 14.
- 9. Plaintiff claims that she has incurred medical expenses and may incur additional unspecified medical expenses in the future. <u>Id.</u> at \P 15.

10. Plaintiff alleges that she "has sustained and makes claim for pain and suffering, loss of physical function, permanent physical, mental and psychological injuries, humiliation and embarrassment, loss of life's pleasures, loss of past wages and future earning capacity and any and all other damages to which she is entitled or may be entitled under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania." <u>Id.</u> at ¶ 16.

B. Subsequent Pleadings and Other Papers.

- 11. Lyft was served with the Complaint on July 15, 2022.
- 12. On or about September 12, 2022, Defendant Griggs filed her Answer with New Matter and Cross Claim to Plaintiff's Complaint, asserting that she resides at 1400 Lincoln Drive, Voorhees, New Jersey 08043.
- 13. On or about September 27, 2022, Defendants B. and A. Bardowsky filed their Answer with New Matter and Cross Claim to Plaintiff's Complaint, asserting that Defendant B. Bardowsky resides at 211 Green Lake Circle, Longwood, Florida 32779.
- 14. On or about October 12, 2022, Plaintiff conveyed a settlement demand of \$100,000 in her case management conference memorandum in state court. This was the first time that Plaintiff offered with any specificity an amount in controversy.

II. REMOVAL IS PROPER BASED ON DIVERSITY JURISDICTION

- 15. Pursuant to <u>28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1)</u>, the district court has original jurisdiction of all civil actions where the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and is between citizens of different states. <u>28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1)</u>.
- 16. "For removal based on diversity of citizenship, federal jurisdiction requires complete diversity between the parties every plaintiff must be of diverse state citizenship from

every defendant." <u>Stewart v. Ethicon, Inc.</u>, <u>2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 50271</u>, at *10 (E.D. Pa. March 19, 2020) (citing <u>28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)</u>).

- 17. While the general rule is that the existence of diversity of citizenship is assessed by evaluating the state of things at the time of the action brought, it "can also arise while a case is pending: 'In a case not originally removable, a defendant who receives a pleading or other paper indicating the post-commencement satisfaction of federal jurisdictional requirements … may remove the case to federal court within 30 days of receiving such information." <u>Stewart, 2020 U.S.</u> <u>Dist. LEXIS 50271, at *11</u> (citing <u>Caterpillar Inc. v. Lewis, 519 U.S. 61, 69</u> (1996)).
- 18. Here, the case was not "originally removable" as pled, as Defendant Griggs was alleged to be a citizen of Pennsylvania and, given the nature of the injuries alleged, it was not evident that the amount-in-controversy would exceed \$75,000.
- 19. Since original process was served, Lyft has received both pleadings and other papers indicating the post-commencement satisfaction of diversity jurisdiction:
 - On September 12, 2022, Defendant Griggs filed her Answer, alleging that she is a citizen of New Jersey and not Pennsylvania; and
 - On October 12, 2022, Plaintiff conveyed a settlement demand of \$100,000 which
 was the first indication with any specificity of the amount in controversy.
- 20. Therefore, it is now apparent that the parties are completely diverse. Plaintiff is a citizen and domiciliary of Texas, and all four defendants Defendant B. Bardowsky (a citizen and domiciliary of Florida), Defendant A. Bardowsky (a citizen and domiciliary of New Jersey), Defendant Griggs (a citizen and domiciliary of New Jersey) and Lyft (a citizen of Delaware and California) are citizens of different states.

- 21. Further, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(c)(2)(A)(ii), due to the nature of the injuries and damages alleged in Plaintiff's Complaint and the \$100,000 settlement demand conveyed on October 12, 2022, the amount in controversy exceeds the jurisdictional \$75,000 threshold under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a).
- 22. Based on the foregoing, the present lawsuit is now removable, as of October 12, 2022, from the state court to the District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(a)(1), 1441(a). See Rosenfield v. Forest City Enterprises, L.P., 300 F. Supp. 3d 674, 680 (E.D. Pa. 2018) (case management conference memorandum containing settlement demand constituted "other paper" triggering 30-day removal period).
- 23. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in the judicial district, namely that the alleged incidents occurred in the City and County of Philadelphia.
- 24. Copies of all process, pleadings and orders that have been received by Lyft are filed herewith and attached collectively hereto as Exhibit B.
- 25. This Notice is timely, being filed within thirty (30) days of receiving the "other paper" on October 12, 2022 conveying a settlement demand in excess of \$75,000.
- 26. Counsel for Defendants Griggs, B. Bardowsky and A. Bardowsky consent to this request.

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Lyft, Inc. respectfully requests the above-captioned matter now pending against it in the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County be removed to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

	Respectfully submitted,
Date:	s/Allison Perry
	Allison L. Perry, Esquire (PA ID No.: 306568) aperry@dmclaw.com

Dickie, McCamey & Chilcote, P.C. 1650 Arch Street, Suite 2110 Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: 215-925-2289 Attorneys for Defendant, Lyft, Inc.

ANDREA LEELIKE :

CIVIL ACTION NO.

VS.

:

BRANDON BARDOWSKY, AJA BARDOWSKY, EBONY GRISS, LYFT, INC. AND JOHN DOE 1-4

AFFIDAVIT

Allison L. Perry, Esquire, being sworn according to law deposes and says that she is the counsel for Defendant, Lyft, Inc. in the within matter; and that she has read the foregoing Notice of Removal and believes it to be true and correct, to the best of her knowledge, information and belief.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: s/Allison Perry

Allison L. Perry, Esquire (PA ID No.: 306568)

aperry@dmclaw.com

Dickie, McCamey & Chilcote, P.C. 1650 Arch Street, Suite 2110 Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: 215-925-2289

Attorneys for Defendant, Lyft, Inc.

ANDREA LEELIKE	:	CIVIL ACTION NO.
VS.	: :	ervil memerine.
BRANDON BARDOWSKY, AJA BARDOWSKY, EBONY GRISS,	:	
LYFT, INC. AND JOHN DOE 1-4	:	

PROOF OF FILING

I, Allison L. Perry, Esquire, hereby	certifies that a copy of the foregoing Notice for
Removal has been filed via electronic filing	g with the Prothonotary of the Court of Common Pleas
of Philadelphia County on	
	Respectfully submitted,
Date:	s/Allison Perry
	Allison L. Perry, Esquire (PA ID No.: 306568) aperry@dmclaw.com
	Dickie, McCamey & Chilcote, P.C.
	1650 Arch Street, Suite 2110
	Philadelphia, PA 19103
	Telephone: 215-925-2289
	Attorneys for Defendant, Lyft, Inc.

ANDREA LEELIKE :

CIVIL ACTION NO.

VS.

:

BRANDON BARDOWSKY, AJA BARDOWSKY, EBONY GRISS, LYFT, INC. AND JOHN DOE 1-4

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Allison L. Perry, Esquire, hereby certify that a copy of the attached has been served upon the following individual by first class, United States mail, postage pre-paid this 25th day of October, 2022.

Robert N. Braker, Esq. Saltz Mongeluzzi & Bendesky, PC 1650 Market Street, 52nd floor Philadelphia, PA 19103

Daniel M. Brown, Esq. William J. Ferren & Assoc. PO Box 2903
Hartford, CT 06104

Andrew R. Benedict, Esq. *BBC Law, LLP* 2005 Market Street, Suite 1940 Philadelphia, PA 19103

Respectfully submitted,

Date: s/Allison Perry

Allison L. Perry, Esquire (PA ID No.: 306568)

aperry@dmclaw.com

Dickie, McCamey & Chilcote, P.C. 1650 Arch Street, Suite 2110 Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: 215-925-2289

Attorneys for Defendant, Lyft, Inc.

EXHIBIT "A"



ONE LIBERTY PLACE, 52ND FLOOR

1650 MARKET STREET

PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103

DELAWARE COUNTY OFFICE 20 WEST THIRD STREET P.O. BOX 1670 MEDIA, PA 19063 VOICE 610.627.9777 FAX 610.627.9787

FAX 215.496.0999
27.9787

New Jersey Office 8000 Sagemore Drive Suite 8303 Marlton, NJ 08053 Voice 856.751.8383 Fax 856.751.0868

ROBERT N. BRAKER
DIRECT DIAL (215) 575-2985
RBRAKER@SMBB.COM

MICHAEL A. PILEGGI DIRECT DIAL (215) 575-3880 MPILEGGI@SMBB.COM MONTGOMERY COUNTY OFFICE
120 GIBRALTAR RD
SUITE 218
HORSHAM, PA 19044
VOICE 215.496.8282
FAX 215.754.4443

July 8, 2022

VIA CERTIFIED & REGULAR MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED # 7020 3160 0001 8378 3092

Lyft, Inc. 185 Berry Street, Suite 5000 San Francisco, CA 94107

Re: Andrea Leelike v. Brandon Bardowsky, et al.

Dear Sir or Madam:

We are hereby serving you with a Civil Action Complaint filed against you in the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County.

Very truly yours,

SALTZ MONGELUZZI & BENDESKY P.C.

BY: /s/ Michael A. Pileggi

ROBERT N. BRAKER, ESQUIRE MICHAEL A. PILEGGI, ESQUIRE

RNB/MAP/kg Enclosure

RECEIVED

JUL 18 2022

By:

CaSe \$e 222 -222-00.4248 6BM YD o Downerne Int 1File file do / 1255 / 1252 / 1272 a Breade 118 85 85

Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County For Prothonotary Use Only (Docket Number) Trial Division JULY 2022 000364 **Civil Cover Sheet** E-Filing Number: 2207008851 DEFENDANT'S NAME PLAINTIFF'S NAME BRANDON BARDOWSKY ANDREA LEELIKE DEFENDANT'S ADDRESS 24 S. SUMMIT AVENUE PLAINTIFF'S ADDRESS 4121 MCKINNEY AVENUE UNIT 24 PITMAN NJ 08071 DALLAS TX 75204 DEFENDANT'S NAME PLAINTIFF'S NAME AJA BARDOWSKY DEFENDANT'S ADDRESS 128 E CROSSING DR MOUNT ROYAL NJ 08061 PLAINTIFF'S ADDRESS DEFENDANT'S NAME PLAINTIFF'S NAME EBONY GRISS DEFENDANT'S ADDRESS PLAINTIFF'S ADDRESS 1422 W. ROCKLAND STREET APARTMENT B4 PHILADELPHIA PA 19141 COMMENCEMENT OF ACTION TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAINTIFFS TOTAL NUMBER OF DEFENDANTS ☐ Notice of Appeal ☐ Petition Action X Complaint 5 1 ☐ Writ of Summons Transfer From Other Jurisdictions COURT PROGRAMS AMOUNT IN CONTROVERSY ☐ Settlement Commerce ☐ Mass Tort Arbitration ☐ Minors \$50,000.00 or less Minor Court Appeal Savings Action X Jury □ W/D/Survival ☐ Statutory Appeals Petition More than \$50,000.00 ■ Non-Jury Other: CASE TYPE AND CODE 2V - MOTOR VEHICLE ACCIDENT STATUTORY BASIS FOR CAUSE OF ACTION IS CASE SUBJECT TO FILED RELATED PENDING CASES (LIST BY CASE CAPTION AND DOCKET NUMBER) COORDINATION ORDER? **PRO PROTHY** NO JUL 06 2022 A. STAMATO TO THE PROTHONOTARY: Kindly enter my appearance on behalf of Plaintiff/Petitioner/Appellant: ANDREA LEELIKE Papers may be served at the address set forth below. **ADDRESS** NAME OF PLAINTIFF'S/PETITIONER'S/APPELLANT'S ATTORNEY 1650 MARKET STREET MICHAEL A. PILEGGI 52ND FLOOR PHILADELPHIA PA 19103 FAX NUMBER PHONE NUMBER (215) 496-0999 (215)496-8282E-MAIL ADDRESS SUPREME COURT IDENTIFICATION NO. mpileggi@smbb.com 320569 DATE SUBMITTED SIGNATURE OF FILING ATTORNEY OR PARTY Wednesday, July 06, 2022, 04:10 pm MICHAEL PILEGGI

FINAL COPY (Approved by the Prothonotary Clerk)

COMPLETE LIST OF DEFENDANTS:

1. BRANDON BARDOWSKY

24 S. SUMMIT AVENUE

PITMAN NJ 08071

2. AJA BARDOWSKY

128 E CROSSING DR

MOUNT ROYAL NJ 08061

3. EBONY GRISS

1422 W. ROCKLAND STREET APARTMENT B4

PHILADELPHIA PA 19141

4. LYFT, INC.

185 BERRY STREET SUITE 5000

SAN FRANCISCO CA 94107

5. JOHN DOE (1-4)

N/A

N/A PA N/A

THIS IS NOT AN ARBITRATION RATTERS ted by the ASSESSMENT OF DAMAGES HEAVING 1841 Records REQUIRED.

SALTZ MONGELUZZI & BENDESKY P.C.

BY: ROBERT N. BRAKER/MICHAEL A. PILEGGI

IDENTIFICATION NOS. 62583/320569

1650 MARKET STREET

52ND FLOOR

PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19103

P: (215) 496-8282 / F: (215) 496-0999

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

ANDREA LEELIKE

4121 McKinney Avenue

Unit 24

Dallas, TX 75204

Plaintiff,

CIVIL DIVISION

PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

V.

TERM 2022 No.:

BRANDON BARDOWSKY

24 S. Summit Avenue Pitman, NJ 08071

AND

AJA BARDOWSKY

128 E Crossing Dr

Mount Royal, NJ 08061

AND

EBONY GRISS

1422 W. Rockland Street, Apartment B4

Philadelphia, PA 19141

AND

LYFT, INC.

185 Berry Street

Suite 5000

San Francisco, CA 94107

AND

JOHN DOE (1-4)

Defendants.

NOTICE TO PLEAD

NOTICE

You have been sued in court. If you wish to defend against the claims set forth in the following pages, you must take action within twenty (20) days after this complaint and notice are served, by entering a written appearance personally or by an attorney and filling in writing with the court your defenses or objections to the claims set forth against you. You are warned that if you fail to do so the case may proceed without you and a judgment may be entered against you by the court without further notice for any money claimed in the complaint or for any other claim or relief requested by the plaintiff. You may lose money or property or other nights important to you.

"YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

THIS OFFICE CAN PROVIDE YOU WITH INFORMATION ABOUT HIRING A LAWYER.

IF YOU CANNOT AFFORD TO HIRE A LAWYER, THIS OFFICE MAY BE ABLE TO PROVIDE YOU WITH INFORMATION ABOUT AGENCIES THAT MAY OFFER LEGAL SERVICES TO ELIGIBLE PERSONS AT A REDUCED FEE OR NO FEE.

PHILADELPHIA BAR ASSOCIATION

LAWYER REFERRAL and NFORMATION SERVICE

One Reading Center

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107

(215) 238-1701

AVISO

Le han demandado en corte. Si usted quiere defenderse contra las demandas nombradas en las páginas siguientes, tiene veinte (20) dias, a partir de recibir esta demanda y la notificatión para entablar personalmente o por un abogado una comparecencia escrita y tambien para entablar con la corte en forma escrita sus defensas y objectiones a las demandas contra usted. Sea avisado que si usted no se defiende, el caso puede continuar sin usted y la corte puede incorporar un juicio contra usted sin previo aviso para conseguir el dinero demandado en el pleito o para conseguir culquier otra demanda o alivio solicitados por el demandante. Usted puede perder dinero el propiedad u otros derecnos importantes para usted.

USTED DEBE LLEVAR ESTE DOCUMENTO A SU ABOGADO INMEDIATAMENTE, SI USTED NO T ENE ABOGADO (O NO TIENE DINERO SUFICIENTE PARA PARGAR A UN ABOGADO). VAVA EN PERSONA O LLAME POR TELEFONO LA OFICINA NOMBRADA ABAJO PARA AVERIGUAR DONDE SE PUEDE CONSEGUIR ASSISTENCIA LEGAL, ESTA OFICINA PUEDE PROPORCIONARIE LA INFORMACION SOBRE CONTRATAR A UN ABOGADO.

SI USTED NO TIENE DINERO SUFICIENTE PARA PAGAR A UN ABOGADO, ESTA OFICINA PUEDE PROPORCIONARIE INFORMACION SOBRE AGENCIAS QUE OFRECEN SERVICIOS LEGALES A PERSONAS QUE CUMPLEN LOS REQUISITOS PARA UN HONORARIO REDUCIDO O NINGUN HONORARIO.

ASSOCIACION DE LICENDIADOS DE FILADELFIA

SERVICO DE REFERENCA E INFORMACION LEGAL

One Reading Center

Filadelfia, Pennsylvania 19107

Telefono: (215) 238-1701

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Andrea Leelike, claims of Defendants, Brandon Bardowsky, Aja Bardowsky, Ebony Griss, Lyft, Inc., and John Doe (1-2), jointly and severally, separate sums in excess of \$50,000.00 in damages, upon causes of action, wherein the following are true:

- Plaintiff, Andrea Leelike, is an adult individual, citizen of Texas, who resides at 4121 McKinney Avenue, Unit 24, Dallas, TX 75204.
- Defendant, Brandon Bardowsky, is an adult individual, citizen of New Jersey, who resides at 24 S. Summit Avenue, Pitman, NJ 08071.

- Defendant, Aja Bardowsky is an adult individual, citizen of New Jersey, who resides at 128 E Crossing Dr., Mount Royal, NJ 08061.
- Defendant, Ebony Griss is an adult individual, citizen of Philadelphia, who
 resides at 1422 W. Rockland Street, Apartment B4, Philadelphia, PA 19141.
- 5. Defendant, Lyft, Inc., is a business entity, organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, which, at all times relevant hereto, engaged in regular, systematic, continuous and substantial business within Philadelphia County, with its principal place of business located at 185 Berry Street, Suite 5000, San Francisco, CA 94107.
- 6. Defendant, John Doe (1-4), is an unknown individual/individuals/estate and/or duly appointed Administrator(s) of the Defendant drivers, should said Defendant(s) be deceased, who were operating and/or in control of Defendants' vehicles and/or Defendants' employers at the time of the accident and/or responsible for Defendants' vehicles at the time of the accident referenced, who Plaintiff could not identify despite a reasonable search.
- 7. On August 14, 2020, at approximately 12:30 a.m., Defendants, Brandon Bardowsky, Aja Bardowsky and John Doe (1-2), owned, managed, maintained, possessed and controlled a certain motor vehicle, namely a 2017 Chevrolet Silverado, bearing NJ license plate R68HZV, which aforesaid vehicle violently collided with the Lyft vehicle in which Plaintiff, Andrea Leelike, was a lawful and proper passenger, at or near the intersection of North 16th Street and Market Street, in Philadelphia, PA.
- 8. At that same time and place, Defendants, Ebony Griss, Lyft, Inc., and John Doe (3-4), owned, managed, maintained, possessed and controlled a certain motor vehicle, namely a 2007 Volkswagen Passat, which motor vehicle was traveling Eastbound on Market Street, at or near its intersection with North 16th Street, in Philadelphia, PA.

- At the aforesaid time and place, Plaintiff, Andrea Leelike, was lawfully and properly a passenger in Defendants, Ebony Griss, Lyft, Inc., and John Doe (3-4)'s Lyft vehicle.
- 10. At the aforesaid time and place, Defendants, Brandon Bardowsky, Aja Bardowsky, and John Doe (1-2), sped through a steady red traffic light posted on North 16th street, causing a violent T-bone collision with the Lyft vehicle that was proceeding Eastbound through the intersection on Market Street, and causing Plaintiff, Andrea Leelike to suffer those serious and permanent injuries, more fully set forth hereinafter.
- 11. At the aforesaid time and place, Defendants, Ebony Griss, Lyft, Inc., and John Doe (3-4), carelessly and negligently operated their vehicle by traveling at an excessive rate of speed and/or through a posted steady red traffic light, in an erratic manner so as to be unable to avoid violently colliding with Defendants, Brandon Bardowsky, Aja Bardowsky, and John Doe (1-2)'s motor vehicle at the aforesaid intersection, and causing Plaintiff, Andrea Leelike, to suffer those serious and permanent injuries more fully set forth hereinafter.

COUNT I ANDREA LEELIKE v. BRANDON BARDOWSKY, AJA BARDOWSKY AND JOHN DOE (1-2) NEGLIGENCE

- Plaintiff incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 13. Defendants, Brandon Bardowsky, Aja Bardowsky, and John Doe (1-2), were careless and negligent in:
 - Operating their vehicle at an excessive rate of speed under the circumstances;
 - Failing to stop at a posted, steady red traffic light;
 - Failing to stop their vehicle prior to striking Plaintiff's vehicle;

- Failing to maintain proper and safe control of their motor vehicle;
- Causing and allowing their vehicle to violently t-bone the vehicle in which Plaintiff was a lawful and proper passenger;
- f. Failing to avoid crashing into the vehicle which Plaintiff was a lawful and proper passenger;
- Failing to keep proper and safe lookout for traffic and road conditions while operating their vehicle;
- Failing to give sound and/or signal warning prior to striking the vehicle in which Plaintiff was a lawful and proper passenger;
- Failing to observe traffic and vehicular conditions then and there existing;
- Operating their motor vehicle in violation of the Pennsylvania Motor Vehicle Code, Title 75; and
- k. Driving while distracted.
- Aja Bardowsky, and John Doe (1-2), as aforesaid, Plaintiff, Andrea Leelike, was caused to sustain serious and permanent personal injuries: she sustained disc bulging at C4-5, C5-6 and C6-7; she sustained a sprain/strain of her left shoulder; she has sustained an aggravation of degenerative disc disease in her cervical spine; she has sustained a sprain/strain to her lumbar spine; she has suffered from severe headaches; she has suffered from severe pain in her lower back and neck; she has been required to undergo physical therapy; she has sustained further injury to the bones, muscles, nerves and ligaments of her body, the full extent of which have yet to be determined; she has sustained other orthopedic, neurologic and psychological injuries, the full extent of which has yet to be determined; she has in the past been required and may in the future continue to be required to submit to x-rays, MRIs, and other diagnostic studies; she has in the past suffered and may in the future continue to suffer serious aches, pains, and mental anguish; she has in the past and may in

the future continue to endure pain and suffering; she has incurred significant past medical bills and will likely incur future medical bills; she has in the past and may in the future continue to be disabled from performing her usual duties, occupations and avocations, all to her great loss and detriment; she has suffered a significant loss of life's pleasures; she has suffered from severe embarrassment and humiliation.

- 15. By the reason of the carelessness and negligence of Defendants, Brandon Bardowsky, Aja Bardowsky, and John Doe (1-2), Plaintiff, Andrea Leelike, has incurred various expenses, including medical expenses and bills, Plaintiff may be obligated to continue to expend monies and incur further obligations for her medical care and treatment, for an indefinite period of time in the future.
- 16. Plaintiff, Andrea Leelike, has sustained and makes claim for pain and suffering, loss of physical function, permanent physical, mental and psychological injuries, humiliation and embarrassment, loss of life's pleasures, loss of past wages and future earning capacity and any and all other damages to which she is entitled or may be entitled under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Andrea Leelike, claims of Defendants, Brandon Bardowsky, Aja Bardowsky, and John Doe (1-2), jointly and severally, separate sums in excess of \$50,000.00 in damages and brings this action to recover same.

ANDREA LEELIKE v. EBONY GRISS, LYFT, INC. AND JOHN DOE (3-4) NEGLIGENCE

 Plaintiff incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

- 18. Defendants, Ebony Griss, Lyft, Inc., and John Doe (3-4), were careless and negligent in:
 - a. Operating their vehicle at an excessive rate of speed under the circumstances;
 - Failing to stop at a posted, steady red traffic light;
 - Failing to stop their vehicle prior to striking another motor vehicle;
 - Failing to maintain proper and safe control of their motor vehicle;
 - Causing and allowing their vehicle to violently collide with another motor vehicle while transporting Plaintiff;
 - Failing to avoid crashing into another motor vehicle while Plaintiff was a lawful and proper passenger in their motor vehicle;
 - Failing to keep proper and safe lookout for traffic and road conditions while operating their vehicle;
 - Failing to give sound and/or signal warning prior to striking another motor;
 - Failing to observe traffic and vehicular conditions then and there existing;
 - Operating their motor vehicle in violation of the Pennsylvania Motor Vehicle Code, Title 75;
 - b. Driving while distracted; and
 - Failing to have proper policies and procedures in place to keep their Lyft passengers, including Plaintiff, from imminent and severe harm;
 - m. Failing to operate their motor vehicle with the skill required to keep their Lyft passengers, including Plaintiff, from suffering imminent and severe harm.
 - 19. By reason of the carelessness and negligence of Defendants, Ebony Griss, Lyft, Inc., and John Doe (3-4), as aforesaid, Plaintiff, Andrea Leelike, was caused to sustain serious and permanent personal injuries: she sustained disc bulging at C4-5, C5-6 and C6-7; she has sustained a sprain/strain of her left shoulder; she has sustained an aggravation of degenerative disc disease in her cervical spine; she has sustained a sprain/strain to her lumbar spine; she has suffered from

severe headaches; she has suffered from severe pain in her lower back and neck; she has been required to undergo physical therapy; she has sustained further injury to the bones, muscles, nerves and ligaments of her body, the full extent of which have yet to be determined; she has sustained other orthopedic, neurologic and psychological injuries, the full extent of which has yet to be determined; she has in the past been required and may in the future continue to be required to submit to x-rays, MRIs, and other diagnostic studies; she has in the past suffered and may in the future continue to suffer serious aches, pains, and mental anguish; she has in the past and may in the future continue to endure pain and suffering; she has incurred significant past medical bills and will likely incur future medical bills; she has in the past and may in the future continue to be disabled from performing her usual duties, occupations and avocations, all to her great loss and detriment; she has suffered a significant loss of life's pleasures; she has suffered from severe embarrassment and humiliation.

- 20. By the reason of the carelessness and negligence of Defendants, Ebony Griss, Lyft, Inc., and John Doe (3-4), Plaintiff, Andrea Leelike, has incurred various expenses, including medical expenses and bills, Plaintiff may be obligated to continue to expend monies and incur further obligations for her medical care and treatment, for an indefinite period of time in the future.
- 21. Plaintiff, Andrea Leelike, has sustained and makes claim for pain and suffering, loss of physical function, permanent physical, mental and psychological injuries, humiliation and embarrassment, loss of life's pleasures, loss of past wages and future earning capacity and any and all other damages to which she is entitled or may be entitled under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Andrea Leelike, claims of Defendants, Ebony Griss, Lyft, Inc., and John Doe (3-4), jointly and severally, separate sums in excess of \$50,000.00 in damages and brings this action to recover same.

SALTZ MONGELUZZI & BENDESKY P.C.

By:

ROBERT N. BRAKA, ESQUIRE MICHAEL A. PILEGGI, ESQUIRE

Attorneys for Plaintiff

VERIFICATION

I, Andrea Leelike, have read the contents of the Complaint. I verify that the contents are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. I understand that this verification is made pursuant to 42 Pa.C.S. § 4904 (relating to unsworn falsification to authorities).

Date: __07/01/2022

ANDREA LEELIKE



S

LAW

PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103 1650 MARKET STREET ONE LIBERTY PLACE 52ND FLOOR

EXHIBIT "B"

DICKIE, McCAMEY & CHILCOTE, P.C. 1650 Arch Street Suite 2110 Philadelphia, PA 19103 (215) 925-2289 By: Allison L. Perry, Esquire Identification No. 306568 Attorney(s) for Defendant, Lyft, Inc.



ANDREA LEELIKE : COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

VS.

: JULY TERM, 2022

BRANDON BARDOWSKY, AJA

BARDOWSKY, EBONY GRISS, :

LYFT, INC. AND JOHN DOE 1-4 : NO: 364

ENTRY OF APPEARANCE

TO THE PROTHONOTARY:

Kindly enter my appearance as counsel for the defendant, Lyft, Inc., in the above captioned matter.

DICKIE, McCAMEY & CHILCOTE, P.C.

Allison Perry

BY:

ALLISON L. PERRY, ESQUIRE Attorney(s) for Defendant, Lyft, Inc.

DICKIE, McCAMEY & CHILCOTE, P.C. 1650 Arch Street Suite 2110 Philadelphia, PA 19103 (215) 925-2289 By: Allison L. Perry, Esquire Identification No. 306568

Attorney(s) for Defendant, Lyft, Inc.

ANDREA LEELIKE : COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

VS.

: **JULY TERM, 2022**

BRANDON BARDOWSKY, AJA

BARDOWSKY, EBONY GRISS, :

LYFT, INC. AND JOHN DOE 1-4 : NO: 364

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

TO THE PROTHONOTARY:

Defendant, Lyft, Inc., above named hereby demands a jury trial in the above captioned matter. Said jury to consist of 12 jurors.

DICKIE, McCAMEY & CHILCOTE, P.C.

Allison Perry

BY: _____

ALLISON L. PERRY, ESQUIRE Attorney(s) for Defendant, Lyft, Inc.

TO THE PLAINTIFF AND CO-DEFENDATS.

Please be advised that you are required to respond to the New Matter and Cross claims of trilling city of Records judgment may be entered against SEP 2022 04:07 pm

/s/Andrew R. Benedict

Andrew R. Benedict, Esquire

BBC LAW, LLP

By: Andrew R. Benedict, Esquire

Attorney ID 87939

By: Nicholas J. Goldwyn, Esquire

Attorney ID 307051

2005 Market Street, Suite 1940

Philadelphia, PA 19103

215-977-4133

Attorneys for Defendant,

Ebony Griggs (incorrectly identified as

Ebony Griss)

ANDREA LEELIKE : COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

VS.

: No.: 220700364

BRANDON BARDOWSKY; AJA

BARDOWSKY; EBONY GRIGGS and LYFT,

INC.

ANSWER OF DEFENDANT, EBONY GRIGGS (INCORRECTLY IDENTIFIED AS "EBONY GRISS") TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT WITH NEW MATTER AND CROSS CLAIM

Defendant, Ebony Griggs ("Answering Defendant", incorrectly identified as "Ebony Griss") by and through her attorneys, BBC Law, LLP, hereby Answer the Complaint of Plaintiff Andrea Leelike in accordance with the numbered paragraphs therein and asserts Answering Defendant's Answer to the Complaint with New Matter and Cross Claims as follows:

PARTIES

1. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of this averment and therefore, demands strict proof thereof at trial.

2. Denied. This averment is not directed to Answering Defendant and therefore no

answer is made. To the extent that such a pleading is required, Answering Defendant denies this

averment.

3. Denied. This averment is not directed to Answering Defendant and therefore no

answer is made. To the extent that such a pleading is required, Answering Defendant denies this

averment.

4. Denied. Answering Defendant, Ebony Griggs (incorrectly identified as "Ebony

Griss") is an adult individual living at 1400 Lincoln Drive, Voorhees, NJ 08043.

5. Denied. This averment is not directed to Answering Defendant and therefore no

answer is made. To the extent that such a pleading is required, Answering Defendant denies this

averment.

6. Denied. This averment is not directed to Answering Defendant and therefore no

answer is made. To the extent that such a pleading is required, Answering Defendant denies this

averment.

7. Admitted in part, denied as stated. This averment states a conclusion of law to

which a responsive pleading is not required. To the extent that such a pleading is required,

Answering Defendant denies this averment. By way of further answer, it is admitted Answering

Defendant, Ebony Griggs, was operating her vehicle as an independent contractor using the Lyft

app at or about the date and time identified in this averment. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff

was a passenger in Answering Defendant's vehicle. In addition, after reasonable investigation,

Answering Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the

truth of this averment and therefore demands strict proof thereof at trial.

8. Admitted in part, denied as stated. This averment states a conclusion of law to which a responsive pleading is not required. To the extent that such a pleading is required, Answering Defendant denies this averment. By way of further answer, it is admitted Answering Defendant, Ebony Griggs, was operating her vehicle as an independent contractor using the Lyft app at or about the date and time identified in this averment. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff was a passenger in Answering Defendant's vehicle. Further, Answering Defendant was the owner and operator of a 2007 Volkswagen Passat at the time of the accident alleged in the Complaint. In addition, after reasonable investigation, Answering Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of this averment and therefore demands strict proof thereof at trial.

- 9. Admitted in part, denied as stated. This averment states a conclusion of law to which a responsive pleading is not required. To the extent that such a pleading is required, Answering Defendant denies this averment. By way of further answer, it is admitted Answering Defendant, Ebony Griggs, was operating her vehicle as an independent contractor using the Lyft app at or about the date and time identified in this averment. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff was a passenger in Answering Defendant's vehicle. In addition, after reasonable investigation, Answering Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of this averment and therefore demands strict proof thereof at trial.
- 10. Denied as stated. This averment states a conclusion of law to which a responsive pleading is not required. To the extent that such a pleading is required, Answering Defendant denies this averment. In addition, after reasonable investigation, Answering Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of this averment and therefore demands strict proof thereof at trial.

11. Denied. This averment states a conclusion of law to which a responsive pleading is not required. To the extent that such a pleading is required, Answering Defendant denies this averment. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff was a passenger in Answering Defendant's vehicle. In addition, all allegations of negligence and / or carelessness on the part of Answering Defendant are specifically denied. Further, after reasonable investigation, Answering Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of this averment and therefore demands strict proof thereof at trial.

COUNT I

Andrea Leelike v. Brandon Bardowsky, Aja Bardowsky and John Doe (1-2)

- 12. Denied. Answering Defendant hereby incorporates by reference their responses to paragraphs 1 through 11 above as if same were more fully set forth herein at length.
- 13-16. Denied. These paragraphs are not directed to Answering Defendant and therefore no answer is made. These paragraphs state conclusions of law to which responsive pleading(s) are not required. To the extent that such pleadings are required, Answering Defendant denies these paragraphs. averment. Further, after reasonable investigation, Answering Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of these paragraphs and therefore demands strict proof thereof at trial.

WHEREFORE, Answering Defendant respectfully request this Honorable Court grant judgment in her favor and dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint with prejudice together with all costs and fees this Court may deem appropriate.

COUNT II Andrea Leelike v. Ebony Griggs, Lyft, Inc. and John Doe (3-4)

17. Denied. Answering Defendant hereby incorporates by reference their responses to paragraphs 1 through 16 above as if same were more fully set forth herein at length.

18. (a) – (i) Denied. This averment and its subparagraphs state a conclusion of law to which a responsive pleading is not required. To the extent that such a pleading is required, Answering Defendant denies this averment and its subparagraphs. By way of further answer, Answering Defendant, Ebony Griggs was operating her vehicle as an independent contractor using the Lyft app at or about the date and time identified in this averment. In addition, all allegations of negligence and / or carelessness are specifically denied. Further, after reasonable investigation, Answering Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of this averment and its subparagraphs and therefore demands strict proof thereof at trial.

- 19. Denied. This averment states a conclusion of law to which a responsive pleading is not required. To the extent that such a pleading is required, Answering Defendant denies this averment. In addition, all allegations of negligence and / or carelessness are specifically denied. Further, after reasonable investigation, Answering Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of this averment and therefore demands strict proof thereof at trial.
- 20. Denied. This averment states a conclusion of law to which a responsive pleading is not required. To the extent that such a pleading is required, Answering Defendant denies this averment. In addition, all allegations of negligence and / or carelessness are specifically denied. Further, after reasonable investigation, Answering Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of this averment and therefore demands strict proof thereof at trial.
- 21. Denied. This averment states a conclusion of law to which a responsive pleading is not required. To the extent that such a pleading is required, Answering Defendant denies this averment. In addition, after reasonable investigation, Answering Defendant is without knowledge

or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of this averment and therefore demands strict proof thereof at trial.

WHEREFORE, Answering Defendant respectfully request this Honorable Court grant judgment in her favor and dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint with prejudice together with all costs and fees this Court may deem appropriate.

NEW MATTER

- 1. Answering Defendant hereby incorporate by reference their responses to paragraphs 1 through 21 above as if same were more fully set forth herein at length.
 - 2. Plaintiff's Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.
- 3. No omissions or conduct on the part of Answering Defendant contributed to Plaintiff's damages, if any.
 - 4. Plaintiff failed to mitigate her damages.
 - 5. The Plaintiff assumed the risk of any injuries and/or damages alleged.
- 6. The damages complained of by Plaintiff pre-existed or are unrelated to the incident which is the subject matter of this Complaint.
- 7. The negligence of Plaintiff either bars Plaintiff's right to recover completely or reduces Plaintiff's claims based upon the extent of Plaintiff's negligence under the Doctrine of Comparative Negligence.
- 8. Plaintiff's alleged damages, if any, were the result of an unavoidable accident or sudden emergency.

- 9. Plaintiff's alleged damages, if any, were proximately caused in whole, or in part, by the bulk of third parties, including Plaintiff, for whom Answering Defendant is not legally responsible.
 - 10. Plaintiff's claims are barred by the applicable statute of limitations.
 - 11. Service of process was improper and/or insufficient.
- 12. The actions of a third party constitute superseding causes of the Plaintiff's injuries, if any.
- 13. It is further strictly denied that any act or omission on the part of Answering Defendant was the sole or proximate cause of Plaintiff's alleged damages or injuries.
- 14. The defect or defective condition complained of, with said allegations being specifically denied, was open and obvious and Plaintiff failed to take due note and observation of it for her own care and safety, and therefore, Answering Defendant owed no duty or care to the Plaintiff and Plaintiff's claims are barred.
- 15. All, or part of Plaintiff's claim for future healthcare and healthcare costs may be limited or barred by the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act.
- 16. At all times material hereto, Answering Defendant, their agents, servants, workmen, representatives and/or employees, if any, acted with due care in the circumstances.
- 17. At no relevant time did Answering Defendant act negligently, grossly negligently, recklessly, carelessly or in willful disregard of the rights of the Plaintiffs or of any other person.
- 18. Plaintiff's claims for damages are excessive and unsupported and, therefore, must be barred or reduced.
- 19. Answering Defendant reserve the right to move for leave to amend this Answer to assert any and all defenses that may become available to it up to and including the time of trial.

- 20. At all times relevant herein, the Answering Defendant acted in accordance with the applicable state and federal laws alleged in the Complaint.
- 21. At all times relevant herein, the services rendered by the Answering Defendant were reasonable and within accepted trade practices and standards.
- 22. Answering Defendant had no notice. {Defendants had no notice of any defects, defective conditions and/or any alleged hazard that may have existed on or about the premises, and therefore, owed no duty to the Plaintiff}
- 23. Plaintiff's claims are subject to the "Fair Share" law, 42 Pa. C.S. §7102. In the event that recovery is allowed against the aforesaid Answering Defendant and liability is attributed to the aforesaid Answering Defendant, each Defendant will be liable for only that portion of the total dollar amount awarded as damages in the ratio of the amount of the Defendants' liability to the amount of liability attributed to all Defendants and other persons to whom liability is apportioned including those parties that may be joined as Additional Defendants.
- 24. Answering Defendant hereby incorporate and assert the Fair Share Act <u>42 Pa. C.S.</u> <u>§7102</u> and asserts that Answering Defendant' liability should at all times be several and not joint.
- 25. In as much as Pa.R.C.P. 1032 provides that if a party waives all defenses not presented by way of answer, Answering Defendant, upon advice of counsel, hereby asserts all of the affirmative defenses set forth in Pa.R.C.P. 1030(a).
- 26. Plaintiff's claims are barred or limited by the provisions of the Pennsylvania Motor Vehicle Financial Responsibility Law, <u>75 Pa.C.S.A. §§1701</u>, et seq. including claims for non-economic damages.

27. Plaintiff's recovery is or may be barred, limited or set-off by the amount of uninsured or underinsured motorist benefits, if any, which Plaintiffs have recovered or may be entitled to recover.

28. Plaintiff's claims may be barred or reduced by first party automobile insurance benefits that were either paid or payable.

29. Pursuant to 1030(a) of the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure, Answering Defendant also raises the affirmative defenses of accord and satisfaction, arbitration and award, consent, discharge and bankruptcy, duress, estoppel, failure of consideration, fair comment, fraud, illegality, immunity from suit, impossibility of performance, justification, laches, license, payment, privilege, release, res judicata, statute of frauds, statute of limitations, truth and waiver.

WHEREFORE, Answering Defendant demands judgment in its favor and against Plaintiff
Andrea Leelike, in all respects, with an award of attorney's fees and costs.

ANSWERING DEFENDANT'S RULE 1031.1 CROSS CLAIMS AGAINST DEFENDANT, BRANDON BARDOWSKY AND AJA BARDOWSKY

- 1. Answering Defendant incorporates herein at length as though set forth in full, all of the above answers, denials and averments set forth in its Answer with New Matter with Cross Claims.
- 2. Answering Defendant denies that it is liable to any party in this lawsuit for any amount of money under any theory of law or facts.
- 3. In the event that there is a judgment, verdict or award entered against Answering Defendant, then it is alleged that said judgment, award or verdict is the sole and exclusive result of the liability producing conduct of Defendants, Brandon Bardowsky and Aja Bardowsky and any other current or future defendants.

Casase2222240A20486BMYDoDownemetht Filedido/1205/222/22Papac44446885

4. In the event that an award, judgment or verdict is entered against Answering

Defendant, then it is alleged that Defendants, Brandon Bardowsky and Aja Bardowsky and any

other current or future defendants are jointly and severally liable for such award, judgment or

verdict or directly liable to Plaintiff for any such award, judgment or verdict.

In the event that a judgment, award or verdict is entered against Answering 5.

Defendant, then it is alleged that Defendants, Brandon Bardowsky and Aja Bardowsky and any

other current or future defendants are liable to Answering Defendant for indemnity or contribution

on all claims set forth in Plaintiff's Complaint.

WHEREFORE, Answering Defendant, Ebony Griggs, demands judgment in her favor and

against all other parties along with attorney's fees, costs and interest.

Respectfully submitted,

BBC LAW, LLP

By: <u>Andrew R. Benedict</u>

Andrew R. Benedict, Esquire Nicholas J. Goldwyn, Esquire

Attorneys for Defendant, Ebony Griggs

Date: September 12, 2022

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Andrew R. Benedict, Esquire, hereby certify that on this 12TH day of September 2022 a true and correct copy of the Answer of Defendant, Ebony Griggs to the Complaint of Plaintiff, Andrea Leelike with New Matter and Cross Claims was forwarded via electronic filing and/or electronic mail, to all counsel of record:

Respectfully submitted,

BBC LAW, LLP

By: Andrew R. Benedict

Andrew R. Benedict, Esquire Nicholas J. Goldwyn, Esquire Attorneys for Defendant, Ebony Griggs

VERIFICATION

The undersigned, Defendant in this matter who is represented by counsel, makes this verification. Counsel has been furnished with factual information upon which the Answer to the Complaint with New Matter and Cross Claims is based. To the extent that the foregoing is based on the factual information provided to counsel, I verify that the facts are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. However, the language of the foregoing is that of counsel and, to the extent that the foregoing goes beyond the factual information provided to counsel, I have relied upon counsel in making this verification.

I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of <u>18 Pa. C.S.</u> <u>§4904</u>, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

-DocuSigned by:

Defendant, Ebony Griggs

Date: 9/12/2022

SALTZ MONGELUZZI & BENDESKY P.C.

BY: ROBERT N. BRAKER/MICHAEL A. PILEGGI IDENTIFICATION NOS. 62583/320569 1650 MARKET STREET 52ND FLOOR PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19103

P: (215) 496-8282 / F: (215) 496-0999

Filed and Attested by the Office of Judicial Records

13 SEP 2022 01:02 pm

Attorneys for Plain Fif MERCEDES

ANDREA LEELIKE

v.

BRANDON BARDOWSKY, AJA BARDOWSKY, EBONY GRISS, LYFT, INC., and JOHN DOE (1-4) COURT OF COMMON PLEAS PHILADELPHIA COUNTY CIVIL DIVISION

JULY TERM, 2022 NO. 00364

ACCEPTANCE OF SERVICE

I, DANIEL M. BROWN, ESQUIRE, do hereby accept service of the Civil Action Complaint on behalf of Defendant, BRANDON BARDOWSKY, in connection with the above-captioned matter.

DANIEL M. BROWN, ESQUIRE

Attorney for Defendants,

Brandon Bardowsky and Aja Bardowsky

Date: 9/13/22

SALTZ MONGELUZZI & BENDESKY P.C.

BY: ROBERT N. BRAKER/MICHAEL A. PILEGGI IDENTIFICATION NOS. 62583/320569 1650 MARKET STREET 52ND FLOOR PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19103 P: (215) 496-8282 / F: (215) 496-0999



ANDREA LEELIKE

v.

BRANDON BARDOWSKY, AJA BARDOWSKY, EBONY GRISS, LYFT, INC., and JOHN DOE (1-4) COURT OF COMMON PLEAS PHILADELPHIA COUNTY CIVIL DIVISION

JULY TERM, 2022 NO. 00364

PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO NEW MATTER OF DEFENDANT, EBONY GRISS

- 1. Denied. This averment does not call for a response.
- 2. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.
- 3. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.
 By way of further answer, the conduct and/or omissions on the part of the Answering Defendant contributed to Plaintiff's damages.
- 4. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.
- 5. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.
- 6. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.
 By way of further answer, the damages complained of by Plaintiff are not pre-existing and are related to the incident which is the subject matter of this Complaint.
- 7. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.

- 8. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.
- 9. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.
- 10. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.
- 11. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.
- 12. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.
- 13. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.
- 14. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.
- 15. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.
- 16. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.
- 17. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.
- 18. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.
- 19. Denied. This averment does not call for a response.
- 20. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.
- 21. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.
- 22. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.
 By way of further answer, this averment is not applicable to Plaintiff's Complaint.
 It's a motor vehicle accident.
- it is a motor vemere accident.
- 23. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.
- 24. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.
- 25. Denied. This averment does not call for a response.
- 26. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.
- 27. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.
- 28. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.
- 29. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests this Honorable Court dismiss the New Matter of Defendant, Ebony Griss, with prejudice.

SALTZ MONGELUZZI & BENDESKY P.C.

BY: <u>/s/ Michael A. Pileggi</u>

ROBERT N. BRAKER, ESQUIRE MICHAEL A. PILEGGI, ESQUIRE

Date: 9/27/22

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Michael A. Pileggi, Esquire, hereby certify that true and correct copies of Plaintiffs' Reply to New Matter was served via electronic mail upon the following:

Andrew R. Benedict, Esquire BBC Law, LLP 2005 Market Street, Suite 1940 Philadelphia, PA, 19103 <u>abenedict@bbclawfirm.com</u> Attorney for Defendant, Ebony Griss

Daniel M. Brown, Esquire
William J. Ferren & Associates
PO Box 2903
Hartford, CT 06104-2903

DBrown9@travelers.com
Attorney for Defendant, Brandon Bardowsky and Aja Bardowsky

Allison L. Perry, Esquire
Dickie, McCamey & Chilcote, P.C.
1650 Arch Street, Suite 2110
Philadelphia, PA 19103

aperry@dmclaw.com
Attorney for Defendant, Lyft, Inc.

SALTZ MONGELUZZI & BENDESKY P.C.

BY: <u>/s/ Michael A. Pileggi</u>

ROBERT N. BRAKER, ESQUIRE MICHAEL A. PILEGGI, ESQUIRE

Date: 9/27/22

NOTICE TO PLEAD

Filed and Attested by the TO: PLAINTIFF and CO-DEFENDOST Judicial Records

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED TO SEE 2022 11:44 am
THE ENCLOSED ANSWER and JEW THE PROPERTY OF AND NEW MATTER CROSSCLAIM WILLIN LYEN 1. (20) DAYS FROM THE SERVICE HEREOF. "ISTRICT"

USI Daniel M. Brown

Daniel M. Brown, Esquire

WILLIAM J. FERREN & ASSOCIATES Daniel M. Brown Atty ID # 59638 PO Box 2903 Hartford, CT 06104 215-274-1716 Dbrown9@travelers.com

Attorney for Defendants, Brandon Bardowsky and Aja Bardowsky

ANDREA LEELIKE

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

٧.

JULY TERM, 2022

BRANDON BARDOWSKY,

AJA BARDOWSKY,

EBONY GRISS,

LYFT, INC. and

JOHN DOE (1-4)

NO. 0364

ANSWER, NEW MATTER AND NEW MATTER CROSSCLAIM PURSUANT TO Pa. R.C.P. 1031.1 OF DEFENDANTS, BRANDON BARDOWSKY and AJA BARDOWSKY

The defendants, Brandon Bardowsky and Aja Bardowsky, by and through their counsel, hereby file the within answer, new matter and new matter crossclaim, and in support hereof avers as follows:

Denied. After reasonable investigation, the answering defendants are 1. without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained in paragraph 1, and strict proof thereof demanded at the time of trial.

- 2. Admitted in part; denied in part. It is admitted that Brandon Bardowsky is an adult individual. It is denied that he is a citizen of New Jersey who resides at 24 S. Summit Avenue in Pitman, New Jersey. Rather, Brandon Bardowsky resides at 211 Green Lake Circle, Longwood, Florida 32779.
 - Admitted.
- 4. Denied. After reasonable investigation, the answering defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained in paragraph 4, and strict proof thereof demanded at the time of trial.
- 5. Denied. After reasonable investigation, the answering defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained in paragraph 5, and strict proof thereof demanded at the time of trial.
- 6. Denied. After reasonable investigation, the answering defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained in paragraph 6, and strict proof thereof demanded at the time of trial.
- 7. Admitted in part; denied in part. It is admitted that on August 14, 2020, the defendant, Brandon Bardowsky, owned and was driving a 2017 Chevrolet Silverado, bearing NJ license plate R68HZV, and which was involved in an accident in Philadelphia. It is denied that on August 14, 2020, defendant, AJA Bardowsky, owned and/or was driving a 2017 Chevrolet Silverado, bearing NJ license plate R68HZV. The remaining allegations contained in paragraph 7 of the plaintiff's complaint are denied as

they represent conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent that said allegations are not conclusions of law, they are denied for the reason that after reasonable investigation, the answering defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained therein, and strict proof thereof demanded at the time of trial.

- 8. Denied. After reasonable investigation, the answering defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained in paragraph 8, and strict proof thereof demanded at the time of trial.
- 9. Denied. The allegations contained in paragraph 9 of the plaintiff's complaint are denied as they represent conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent that said allegations are not conclusions of law, they are denied for the reason that after reasonable investigation, the answering defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained therein, and strict proof thereof demanded at the time of trial.
- 10. Denied. The allegations contained in paragraph 10 of the plaintiff's complaint are denied as they represent conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent that said allegations are not conclusions of law, they are denied for the reason that after reasonable investigation, the answering defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained therein, and strict proof thereof demanded at the time of trial.

11. Denied. The allegations contained in paragraph 11 of the plaintiff's complaint are not directed to answering defendants and no response is required by answering defendants.

COUNT I

Plaintiff v. Brandon Bardowsky, Aja Bardowsky and John Doe (1-2) Negligence

- 12. The answering defendants incorporate by reference their answers to paragraphs 1 through 11 of the plaintiff's complaint as if same were fully set forth at length herein.
- 13. Denied. The allegations contained in paragraph 13 of the plaintiff's complaint are denied as they represent conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent that said allegations are not conclusions of law, they are denied for the reason that after reasonable investigation, the answering defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained therein. Any and all factual averments are denied, and strict proof thereof demanded at the time of trial.
- 14. Denied. The allegations contained in paragraph 14 of the plaintiff's complaint are denied as they represent conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent that said allegations are not conclusions of law, they are denied for the reason that after reasonable investigation, the answering defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained therein. Any and all factual averments are denied, and strict proof thereof demanded at the time of trial.

- 15. Denied. The allegations contained in paragraph 15 of the plaintiff's complaint are denied as they represent conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent that said allegations are not conclusions of law, they are denied for the reason that after reasonable investigation, the answering defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained therein. Any and all factual averments are denied, and strict proof thereof demanded at the time of trial.
- 16. Denied. The allegations contained in paragraph 16 of the plaintiff's complaint are denied as they represent conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent that said allegations are not conclusions of law, they are denied for the reason that after reasonable investigation, the answering defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained therein. Any and all factual averments are denied, and strict proof thereof demanded at the time of trial.

WHEREFORE, the answering defendants demand judgment in their favor and against the plaintiff.

COUNT II Plaintiff v. Ebony Griss, Lyft, Inc., and John Doe (3-4) Negligence

17. The answering defendants incorporate by reference their answers to paragraphs 1 through 16 of the plaintiff's complaint as if same were fully set forth at length herein.

18-21. Denied. The allegations contained in paragraphs 18 through 21 of the plaintiff's complaint are not directed to answering defendants and no response is required by answering defendants.

WHEREFORE, the answering defendants demand judgment in their favor and against the plaintiff.

NEW MATTER

- 22. Some or all of the damages claimed by the plaintiff are not recoverable under applicable law.
- 23. If the plaintiff suffered damages as alleged, which averments are denied, then the sole and exclusive cause of any such alleged injuries or damages may have been the negligence and carelessness of others and not that of the answering defendants.
- 24. The negligent acts and/or omissions of other individuals and/or entities may have constituted an intervening, superseding cause of the damages alleged to have been sustained by the plaintiff.
- 25. The plaintiff's claims may be barred in whole or in part by the applicable statute of limitations.
- 26. The plaintiff's claims may be barred in whole or in part by the applicable doctrines of res judicata and/or collateral estoppel.
- 27. The plaintiff's claims may be barred in whole or in part by the applicable provisions of the Pennsylvania Financial Responsibility Law.
- 28. The plaintiff's claims are barred or limited by the failure to mitigate damages.

- 29. The plaintiff's claims are barred and/or limited based upon the defense of accord and satisfaction.
- 30. The plaintiff's claims may be barred and/or limited based upon the signing of a release and the terms of that release.
- 31. The answering defendants incorporate herein by reference as though fully set forth at length all limitations, bars, preclusions and conditions set forth and amendments to the Pennsylvania Motor Vehicle Financial Responsibility Law, 75

 Pa.C.S.A. § 1701 et seq., which became effective on July 1, 1990.
- 32. At the time of the accident, the plaintiff failed to maintain financial responsibility on an owned motor vehicle and thus the plaintiff's claims for non-economic damages are barred by the applicable provisions of the Pennsylvania Financial Responsibility Law.
- 33. The plaintiff's complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.
- 34. The plaintiff's alleged injuries were pre-existing and not caused by the subject accident.
- 35. The plaintiff selected limited tort on the insurance policy that insured her vehicle.
 - 36. The plaintiff is bound by limited tort.
- 37. The answering defendants incorporate, as though fully set forth herein, the affirmative defenses delineated under Pennsylvania Rule of Civil Procedure 1030.

WHEREFORE, the answering defendants demand judgment in their favor and against the plaintiff.

NEW MATTER CROSSCLAIM PURSUANT TO Pa. R.C.P. 1031.1 AGAINST CO-DEFENDANTS, EBONY GRISS, LYFT, INC., JOHN DOE (1-4)

38. The incident referenced in plaintiff's complaint was caused solely by the

negligence and/or carelessness of the co-defendants, Ebony Griss, Lyft, Inc., and John

Doe (1-4), and was due in no manner whatsoever to any act or failure to act on the part

of answering defendants.

39. The co-defendants, Ebony Griss, Lyft, Inc., and John Doe (1-4), are alone

and solely liable to plaintiff.

40. If any liability is judicially determined against answering defendants, with

all such liability being specifically denied, then it is averred that the co-defendants,

Ebony Griss, Lyft, Inc., and John Doe (1-4), are liable over to answering defendants by

way of indemnification or contribution and/or are jointly and severally liable with

answering defendants.

WHEREFORE, answering defendants demand judgment against plaintiff and the

co-defendants, and hereby crossclaims pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 1031.1 together with an

award of attorney's fees and costs incurred in defense of this matter.

WILLIAM J. FERREN & ASSOCIATES

11s11 Daniel M. Brown

BY:_____

Daniel M. Brown

Attorney for defendants,

Brandon Bardowsky and Aja Bardowsky

VERIFICATION

I, Brandon Bardowsky, verify that the statements made in the foregoing answer, new matter and new matter cross-claim are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

I understand that false statements herein made are subject to the penalties of <u>18</u>

Pa. C.S.A. § 4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

RY.

Brandon Bardowsky

WILLIAM J. FERREN & ASSOCIATES
Daniel M. Brown
Atty ID # 59638
PO Box 2903
Hartford, CT 06104
215-274-1716
Dbrown9@travelers.com

Filed and Attested by the Office of Judicial Records

28 SEP 2022 11:16 am

Attorney for Defendants,
Brandon Bardowsky and
Aja Bardowsky

ANDREA LEELIKE : COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

V.

JULY TERM, 2022

BRANDON BARDOWSKY, :

AJA BARDOWSKY, : NO. 0364

EBONY GRISS, :

LYFT, INC. and

JOHN DOE (1-4)

REPLY OF DEFENDANTS, BRANDON BARDOWSKY and AJA BARDOWSKY, TO THE RULE 1031.1 CROSSCLAIM OF CO-DEFENDANT, EBONY GRIGGS, incorrectly identified as Ebony Griss

The defendants, Brandon Bardowsky and Aja Bardowsky, by and through their counsel, hereby reply to the crossclaim of co-defendant, Ebony Griggs, and in support thereof avers as follows:

- 1. The answering defendants incorporate by reference their answer, new matter and crossclaim to the plaintiff's complaint as if same were fully set forth at length herein.
- 2. Denied. The allegations contained in paragraph 2 of the co-defendant's crossclaim are denied as they represent conclusions of law to which no response is required. Any and all factual averments are denied, and strict proof thereof demanded at the time of trial.

- 3. Denied. The allegations contained in paragraph 3 of the co-defendant's crossclaim are denied as they represent conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent that said allegations are not conclusions of law, they are denied for the reason that after reasonable investigation, the answering defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained therein. Any and all factual averments are denied, and strict proof thereof demanded at the time of trial.
- 4. Denied. The allegations contained in paragraph 4 of the co-defendant's crossclaim are denied as they represent conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent that said allegations are not conclusions of law, they are denied for the reason that after reasonable investigation, the answering defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained therein. Any and all factual averments are denied, and strict proof thereof demanded at the time of trial.
- 5. Denied. The allegations contained in paragraph 5 of the co-defendant's crossclaim are denied as they represent conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent that said allegations are not conclusions of law, they are denied for the reason that after reasonable investigation, the answering defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained therein. Any and all factual averments are denied, and strict proof thereof demanded at the time of trial.

WHEREFORE, the answering defendants demand judgment in their favor and against the parties.

WILLIAM J. FERREN & ASSOCIATES

BY:
Daniel M. Brown
Attorney for defendants,
Brandon Bardowsky and Aja Bardowsky

DICKIE, McCAMEY & CHILCOTE, P.C. 1650 Arch Street
Suite 2110
Philadelphia, PA 19103
(215) 925-2289
By: Allison L. Perry, Esquire
Identification No. 306568
Attorney(s) for Defendant, Lyft, Inc.



ANDREA LEELIKE : COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

JULY TERM, 2022

BRANDON BARDOWSKY,,

v.

AJA BARDOWSKY, EBONY GRISS,

LYFT, INC., AND JOHN DOE 1-4 : NO.: 0364

DEFENDANT LYFT, INC.'S REPLY TO DEFENDANTS BRANDON BARDOWSKY AND AJA BARDOWSKY'S NEW MATTER CROSS-CLAIM PURSUANT TO PA.

<u>R.C.P. 1031.1</u>

Defendant Lyft, Inc. ("Lyft"), by and through its attorneys, Dickie, McCamey & Chilcote, P.C., hereby files the instant Reply to Defendants Brandon Bardowsky and Aja Bardowsky's New Matter Cross-Claim Pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 1031.1, and in support thereof, avers as follows:

NEW MATTER CROSSCLAIM PURSUANT TO PA. R.C.P. 1031.1 AGAINST CO-DEFENDANTS EBONY GRISS, LYFT, INC., AND JOHN DOE 1-4

- 38. Denied. The averments of this paragraph constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required. However, to the extent a response may be deemed necessary, the same are specifically denied.
- 39. Denied. The averments of this paragraph constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required. However, to the extent a response may be deemed necessary, the same are specifically denied.

40. Denied. The averments of this paragraph constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required. However, to the extent a response may be deemed necessary, the same are specifically denied.

WHEREFORE, Defendant Lyft, Inc. demands judgment in its favor and against all parties, together with costs, fees, and any other such relief this Court deems just.

DICKIE, McCAMEY & CHILCOTE, P.C.

Allison Perry

2

ALLISON L. PERRY, ESQUIRE Attorney for Defendant Lyft, Inc.

VERIFICATION

ALLISON L. PERRY, ESQUIRE states that she is the attorney for Defendant; that she is acquainted with the facts set forth in the foregoing pleading; that the same are true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information and belief; and that this statement is made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S.A. § 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

3

Allison Perry

ALLISON L. PERRY, ESQUIRE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 4th day of October, 2022, I electronically filed the attached pleading with the Prothonotary using the Philadelphia Court's Electronic Filing System which will send notification of such filing to all counsel involved in this matter. Upon receipt of the notification, if counsel is not a registered e-filer, a hard copy will be served via first class mail, postage pre-paid.

DICKIE, McCAMEY & CHILCOTE, P.C.

BY: Allison Perry

ALLISON L. PERRY, ESQUIRE

B. COURT OF COMMON PLEAS PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

ANDREA LEELIKE		OF COMMON PLEAS ELPHIA COUNTY	}
٧.	: : JULY TI	ERM, 2022	
BRANDON BARDOWSKY, AJA BARDOWSKY, EBONY GRISS, LYFT, INC. and JOHN DOE (1-4)	: NO. 03		
CASE MANAGEME	NT CONFEREN	NCE MEMORAN	DUM
Filing Party: Defendants, Brandon Bar Aja Bardowksy	dowsky & B	By: <u>Daniel M. Brown</u>	
Counsel's address and telephone numb	er (IMPORTANT)		
WILLIAM J. FERREN 10 Sentry Parkway, Sui Blue Bell, PA 19422 (215) 274-1716 PART A (To i	te 301		
1. Date of accident or occurrence:	8/14/202	0	
1(a). Age of Plaintiff:			
2. Most serious injuries sustained:	Unknown		
3. Is there any permanent injury c	laimed: Unknown	nYes	No
If yes, indicate the type of perm	nanent injury: Unknow	n	
4. Dates of medical treatment:	Unknown		
5. Is medical treatment continuing	g? Yes	No	
6. Has there been an inpatient hos	pitalization?	Yes	No
This form shall be presented to the Ca	ise Manager and copic	es served upon all partie	s at the

Case Management Conference by counsel prepared to discuss its contents.

19.	Demand: <u>Unknown</u>		Offer: <u>\$ None</u>	-
	Are there issues as to the appabove insurance coverage:	plicability of the	Yes <u>X</u> No	0
	Brandon & Aja Bardowsky	St. Paul Protective Ins. Co.	\$100,000.00	
	Defendants	Insurance Carrier	Coverage Lim	its
18.	Identify all applicable insurance coverage:			
17.	Defense position as to causa injuries are not known at thi		: The nature and extent	of the alleged
16.	Defense factual position as to occurred at the intersection and disputed at this time.			
15.	Plaintiff's factual position as	s to liability:	see plaintiff's 1	memo
14.	Do you anticipate joining ad	ditional parties?	Yes	<u>X</u> No
	If so, list caption(s) or other	appropriate identified:		
13.	Are there any related cases of	or claims pending?	Yes	sNo
	If yes, approximate future lo	est earning capacity:		
12.	Is there a claim for future lo	st earning capacity?	Yes	No
11.	Approximate past lost wages	s Unknown		
10.	Time lost from work: <u>Unknee</u>	own		
	If Yes, what type and approx	ximate amount?		
9.	Are there any existing liens	(Workers Compensatio	on, DPW, Medical, etc.)	?YesNo
	Approximate medical bills r	ecoverable in this case:	Unknown	
8.	Approximate medical bills to	o date: <u>Unknown</u>		
	If yes, indicate the type of su	urgery: see plaintiff's	memo	
7.	Has there been any surgery?	YesNo)	

Casase222224-0.426265 Doormenent Fileded/25/25/22/22Pagage 70 8585 12 OCT 2022 04:33 pm

Civil Administration

B. KOCH

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF PHILADELPHIA COUNTY FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA CIVIL TRIAL DIVISION

Andrea Leelike

Plaintiff

V. No.: 00364

Brandon Bardowsky, et al.

Defendant : CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE MEMORANDUM

DISCLAIMER:

THIS DOCUMENT IS SOLELY USED FOR CASE MANAGEMENT PURPOSES AND SHALL NOT BE USED FOR ANY OTHER PURPOSE.

Date and Time of the Case Management Conference: On or after 10/19/22

Filing Party: Plaintiff, Andrea Leelike

Attorney of Record: Michael A. Pileggi, Esquire BAR ID: 320569

Address of Attorney: 1650 Market Street, 52nd Floor, Philadelphia, PA 19103

Email and Telephone for Filing Attorney: Mpileggi@smbb.com 215-575-3880

Personal Injury
All other case types

Complete Section I
Complete Section II

Casase222224-0A42626BMYDoDownenetht Fileded/125/222/22Pagaget7af 8585 <u>SECTION I - PERSONAL INJURY</u>

FOR ALL PARTIES:

1.	Alleged date and location of alleged accident or occurrence: 8/14/20 at approximately 12:30 a.m.
2.	Are there any related cases or claims pending, if known? Yes: No: If so, list caption(s) and docket number(s) or other appropriate identifier(s):
3.	Do you anticipate joining additional parties at this time? Yes: No:
	Comment: N/A
4. Note: T	Current Demand: \$100,000 Current Offer: Zero The demand cannot be "unknown" or "to be determined;" it must be expressed in a monetary value.
5.	On behalf of the responding party, are you interested in court - supervised early mediation? YES NO
	HE PLAINTIFF: Responses such as "to be determined" or "unknown" are disfavored and strongly discouraged.
6.	Age of Plaintiff on date of alleged accident or occurrence: 25 years old
7.	Set forth a summary of facts giving rise to cause(s) of action: Plaintiff was a passenger in a Lyft vehicle when the vehicle operated by Bardowsky sped through a steady red light and t-boned the Lyft vehicle causing significant injuries to Plaintiff.
8.	Identify most serious injuries sustained:
	Disc bulges at C4-5, C5-6 and C6-7; sprain/strain of the left shoulder, and sprain/strain of the lumbar spine.
9.	Is there any permanent injury claimed? YES: ✔ NO:
10. medica	If yes, indicate the type of permanent injury: Disc bulges at C4-5, C5-6 and C6-7 Please identify each medical treatment facility or medical provider by name, address, and dates of treatment: See medical sheet attached.
11.,	Is medical treatment continuing? YES: V NO: If "yes", please identify at which facility or provider: Recently re-entered treatment in Texas, records not recieved to date
12.	Has there been an inpatient hospitalization? YES: NO: ✓

13.	Has there been any surgery, injection or diagnostic testing performed? YES: ✔ NO:
	If yes, indicate the type of surgery, injection or diagnostic test performed: MRI of cervical spine

- 14. Approximate medical bills to date: \$4,000
- 15. Approximate medical bills recoverable in this case: Less than \$2,000
- 16. In Automobile cases, has PIP been exhausted? If available, please provide a PIP Log to all counsel prior to the Conference. See attached payout sheet
- 17. In Automobile cases, please identify Plaintiff's tort status. Please also provide the executed tort waiver in effect as of date of loss and the Declaration Page to all counsel prior to the Conference. If there is an issue with Plaintiff's tort status, please explain. Full tort
- 18. Are there any existing liens (Workers' Compensation, DPW, Medical, etc.?) YES: NO:
 ✓

 If yes, what type and approximate amount? N/A
- 19. Was Plaintiff employed at the time of the accident or occurrence? YES V NO: If yes, identify Plaintiff's occupation: Law student
- 20. Is there a claim for past lost wages? YES: NO: ✓
 - If yes, approximate past lost wages: N/A
- 21. Is there a claim for future lost earning capacity? YES: NO: ✓

 If yes, approximate future lost earning capacity: N/A
- Other than the parties, identify by name and, if known, the address and telephone number of each individual likely to have discoverable information—along with the subjects of that information—that the disclosing party may use to support its claims or defenses, unless the use would be solely for impeachment. Counsel should demonstrate reasonable effort in identifying witnesses.
 - Individual 1 Name, address and telephone number or person and subject of discoverable information:
 - Individual 2 Name, address and telephone number of person and subject of discoverable information:

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

23. If an answer has been filed, set forth a summary of the applicable defense(s) or any counterclaim, if known:

24.	At this	time, does the	Defense co	ntest:			
	a.	liability	YES:	NO:	UNKNO	OWN:	
	b.	causation	YES:	NO:	UNKNO	OWN:	
	c.	economic dan	nages	YES:	NO:	UNKNOWN:	
	d.	non-economic	damages	YES:	NO:	UNKNOWN:	
26.	busine		le to satisf	fy all or p	oart of a p	ing excess coverage, under which an insupossible judgment in the action or to indeminent:	
	Defen	dant	i	Insurance	e Carrier	Coverage Limits	
	Defend						
	Please	e provide the	Declaratio	on(s) Pag	ge, if avai	ilable, to all counsel prior to the Confere	nce.
27.	At thi	s time, are you	aware of	issues as	to the ap	oplicability of the above insurance coverage	⇒ ?
	YES	NO N/A					
	If you	stated "YES"	or "N/A",	please e	xplain:		
28.	indivi that th	dual likely to he disclosing pa	nave discor arty may u	verable in use to sup	nformatio port its c	known, the address and telephone number of management of that information or defenses, unless the use would be sonable effort in identifying witnesses.	tion—
		dual 1 - Name nation:	, address a	ınd telepl	none num	nber of person and subject of discoverable	
		dual 2 - Name nation:	, address a	and teleph	none num	nber of person and subject of discoverable	

SUMMARY OF DAMAGES

ANDREA LEELIKE

I. <u>DATE OF ACCIDENT:</u> AUGUST 14, 2020

II. MEDICAL HISTORY:

A. THOMAS JEFFERSON UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL Date of Service: 8/14/20

B. NICOLE OTTO, M.D. Dates of Service: 12/26/20 – 5/8/21

C. EXCEL PHYSICAL THERAPY Dates of Service: 12/29/20 – 2/25/21

D. PENN MEDICINE MRI of Cervical Spine Date of Service: 5/05/21 02/02/22 12:20:24 800-776-4737

6103040591 800-776-4737

Page 002

Medical Payments / PIP - Print Preview

Page 1 of 2

Medical Payments Details

Named Insured: D 3 Lyft Inc Period 2 An

EXCEL PHYSICAL THERAPY

Injured Party: Claim Number:

Andrea Leelike 20-1048471

Date Of Loss: Total Billed: Total Paid:

08-14-20 \$4,035.00 \$2,168.35

		Service Dates	Amount	Amount To Be		Date Received		Invoice	Payment
EXCEL	PHYSICAL	THERAPY		***************************************					
		02-25-21 / 02-25- 21		\$165.94	1900 1900 L	D3-08-21	1	81589526	į.
EXCEL I	PHYSICAL	THERAPY							
		: 01-29-21 / 01-29- : 21	\$283.00	\$165.94		03-10-21		81470526	03-23-21
EXCEL I	PHYSICAL	THERAPY	CHEST-CHEWSEN						
	;	01-26-21 / 01-26- 21		\$111.20		<u>:</u> 03-04 - 21		81418312	
EXCEL I	PHYSICAL	THERAPY							
		02-05-21 / 02-05- 21				03-01-21		81226266	03-15-21
EXCEL I	PHYSICAL	THERAPY							
	TNC PIP	02-18-21 / 02-18- 21	\$212.00	\$111.20		:03-01-21	1	80916335	03-05-21
EXCEL I	PHYSICAL	THERAPY		THE STATE OF THE STATE OF					
************		02-22-21 / 02-22- 21	\$212.00	\$111.20		03-01-21		80916328	03-05-21
EXCEL F	PHYSICAL	THERAPY		0000000000000000000000000000000000000			7444		
	[[0]00005E00 00 C	02-02-21 / 02-02- 21				03-01-21		80916327	03-05-21
EXCEL F	PHYSICAL	THERAPY							
	TNC PIP	02-15-21 / 02-15- 21	\$212.00	\$111.20		02-26-21		80916285	03-05-21
EXCEL F	PHYSICAL	THERAPY							
		02-13-21 / 02-13- 21	\$212.00	\$111.20		02-26-21		80916279	03-05-21
EXCEL F	PHYSICAL	THERAPY							41 4164
22272	TNC PIP 3	02-10-21 / 02-10- 21	\$212.00	\$111.20		02-26-21		80916273	:03-05-21
EXCEL F	PHYSICAL	THERAPY							
		01-20-21 / 01-20- 21	\$212.00	\$111.20		02-01-21		80624483	02-25-21
EXCEL F	PHYSICAL	THERAPY	and the second					and the party	24120
		01-12-21 / 01-12- 21	\$212.00	\$111.20		01-26-21		80624453	02-25-21
EXCEL F	PHYSICAL	THERAPY							
******	,	01-18-21 / 01-18- 21	\$212.00	\$111.20		01-26-21		80624450	02-25-21

Casase222.224-00.4242636MYDoDownemetht 1Filefiledo/1205/225/22Pagag467068585

02/02/22 12:20:42 000-776-4737

->

6103840591 800-776-4737

Page 003

Medical Payments / PIP - Print Preview

Page 2 of 2

TNC PIP 3	01-14-21 / 01-14- 21	\$212.00 \$111.20	01-26-21	80624447 : 02-25-21
EXCEL PHYSICAL	.THERAPY	1-441) What (14) 400 (14)		
TNC PIP	01-06-21 / 01-06- 21	\$212.00 \$111.20	01-18-21	80624445 02-25-21
EXCEL PHYSICAL	THERAPY	7700		
TNC PIP	12-29-20 / 12-29- 20	\$289.00 \$168.47	01-09-21	80624443 : 02-25-21
EXCEL PHYSICAL	THERAPY			
TNC PIP	21	\$212.00 \$111.20	01-11-21	80624439 02-25-21
EXCEL PHYSICAL	THERAPY	THE PARTY OF THE P	200 - 100 -	
TNC PIP 3	01-04-21 / 01-04-21	\$212.00 \$111.20	01-11-21	80624441 02-25-21

SALTZ MONGELUZZI & BENDESKY P.C.

BY: ROBERT N. BRAKER/MICHAEL A. PILEGGI IDENTIFICATION NOS. 62583/320569 1650 MARKET STREET 52ND FLOOR PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19103 P: (215) 496-8282 / F: (215) 496-0999



ANDREA LEELIKE

V.

BRANDON BARDOWSKY, AJA BARDOWSKY, EBONY GRISS, LYFT, INC., and JOHN DOE (1-4) COURT OF COMMON PLEAS PHILADELPHIA COUNTY CIVIL DIVISION

JULY TERM, 2022 NO. 00364

<u>PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO NEW MATTER OF</u> <u>DEFENDANTS, BRANDON BARDOWSKY AND AJA BARDOWSKY</u>

- 22. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.
- 23. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.
- 24. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.
- 25. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.
- 26. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.
- 27. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.
- 28. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.
- 29. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.
- 30. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.
- 31. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.
- 32. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.

Case ID: 220700364

Casase222.22240.4242633MYDoDownemetht 1Filedie.00/1225/123212327apja.g7487358585

By way of further answer, Plaintiff complied with all provisions of the

Pennsylvania Motor Vehicle Financial Responsibility Law.

33. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.

34. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.

By way of further answer, Plaintiff's injuries were caused by the subject accident.

35. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.

Plaintiff was at all times entitled to full tort treatment under Pennsylvania Law.

36. Denied. This averment is a conclusion of law to which no response is required.

37. Denied. This averment does not call for a response.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests this Honorable Court dismiss the

New Matter of Defendants, Brandon Bardowsky and Aja Bardowsky, with prejudice.

SALTZ MONGELUZZI & BENDESKY P.C.

BY: /s/ Michael A. Pileggi

ROBERT N. BRAKER, ESQUIRE

MICHAEL A. PILEGGI, ESQUIRE

Case ID: 220700364

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Michael A. Pileggi, Esquire, hereby certify that true and correct copies of Plaintiff's Reply to New Matter was served via electronic mail upon the following:

Andrew R. Benedict, Esquire BBC Law, LLP 2005 Market Street, Suite 1940 Philadelphia, PA, 19103 abenedict@bbclawfirm.com Attorney for Defendant, Ebony Griss

Daniel M. Brown, Esquire
William J. Ferren & Associates
PO Box 2903
Hartford, CT 06104-2903
DBrown9@travelers.com
Attorney for Defendant, Brandon Bardowsky and Aja Bardowsky

Allison L. Perry, Esquire
Dickie, McCamey & Chilcote, P.C.
1650 Arch Street, Suite 2110
Philadelphia, PA 19103
aperry@dmclaw.com
Attorney for Defendant, Lyft, Inc.

SALTZ MONGELUZZI & BENDESKY P.C.

BY: /s/ Michael A. Pileggi

ROBERT N. BRAKER, ESQUIRE MICHAEL A. PILEGGI, ESQUIRE

Date: 10/13/22

Civil Administration

B. KOCH

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF PHILADELPHIA COUNTY FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA CIVIL TRIAL DIVISION

Andrea Leelike

: <u>July</u> TERM 20²²

Plaintiff

:

v. : No.: <u>364</u>

Brandon Bardowsky, et al.

:

Defendant : CASE MANAGEMENT

CONFERENCE MEMORANDUM

:

DISCLAIMER:

THIS DOCUMENT IS SOLELY USED FOR CASE MANAGEMENT PURPOSES AND SHALL NOT BE USED FOR ANY OTHER PURPOSE.

Date and Time of the Case Management Conference: N/A

Filing Party: Lyft, Inc.

Attorney of Record: Allison Perry BAR ID: 306568

Address of Attorney: 1650 Arch Street, Suite 2110, Philadelphia, PA 19103

Email and Telephone for Filing Attorney: aperry@dmclaw.com; (215) 925-2289

Personal Injury Complete Section I
All other case types Complete Section II

FΩ	\mathbf{R}	۸T	Τ.	$\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}$	RT	TES	١.

1.	Alleged date and location of alleged accident or occurrence: 8/14/20 at or near the intersection of 16th and Market Streets, Philadelphia
2.	Are there any related cases or claims pending, if known? Yes: No: ✓
	If so, list caption(s) and docket number(s) or other appropriate identifier(s):
3.	Do you anticipate joining additional parties at this time? Yes: No: 🗸
	Comment:
4. Note: T	Current Demand: Unknown Current Offer: None The demand cannot be "unknown" or "to be determined;" it must be expressed in a monetary value.
5.	On behalf of the responding party, are you interested in court - supervised early mediation? YES NO
	THE PLAINTIFF: Responses such as "to be determined" or "unknown" are disfavored and strongly discouraged.
6.	Age of Plaintiff on date of alleged accident or occurrence:
7.	Set forth a summary of facts giving rise to cause(s) of action:
8.	Identify most serious injuries sustained:
9.	Is there any permanent injury claimed? YES: NO:
10.	If yes, indicate the type of permanent injury: Please identify each medical treatment facility or medical provider by name, address, and dates of l treatment:
11.	Is medical treatment continuing? YES: NO:
	If "yes", please identify at which facility or provider:
12.	Has there been an inpatient hospitalization? YES: NO:

13.	Has there been any surgery, injection or diagnostic testing performed? YES:	NO:
	If yes, indicate the type of surgery, injection or diagnostic test performed:	
14.	Approximate medical bills to date:	

- 15. Approximate medical bills recoverable in this case:
- 16. In Automobile cases, has PIP been exhausted? If available, please provide a PIP Log to all counsel prior to the Conference.
- 17. In Automobile cases, please identify Plaintiff's tort status. Please also provide the executed tort waiver in effect as of date of loss and the Declaration Page to all counsel prior to the Conference. If there is an issue with Plaintiff's tort status, please explain.
- 18. Are there any existing liens (Workers' Compensation, DPW, Medical, etc.?) YES: NO: If yes, what type and approximate amount?
- 19. Was Plaintiff employed at the time of the accident or occurrence? YES NO: If yes, identify Plaintiff's occupation:
- 20. Is there a claim for past lost wages? YES: NO:

If yes, approximate past lost wages:

- 21. Is there a claim for future lost earning capacity? YES: NO:

 If yes, approximate future lost earning capacity:
- 22. Other than the parties, identify by name and, if known, the address and telephone number of each individual likely to have discoverable information—along with the subjects of that information—that the disclosing party may use to support its claims or defenses, unless the use would be solely for impeachment. Counsel should demonstrate reasonable effort in identifying witnesses.
 - Individual 1 Name, address and telephone number or person and subject of discoverable information:

Individual 2 - Name, address and telephone number of person and subject of discoverable information:

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

23. If an answer has been filed, set forth a summary of the applicable defense(s) or any counterclaim, if known: Bardowsky ran a red light and struck Griss's vehicle. Therefore, neither Griss nor Lyft bear any liability in this matter. Further, this matter is subject to binding arbitration pursuant to Lyft's TOS, to which Plaintiff consented.

Casese222.22240.4242633MYDoDownemetht Filediedo/1225/2222aPagage383838585

24. At this time, does the Defense contest:

a. liability YES: 🗸 NO: UNKNOWN:

b. causation YES: NO: UNKNOWN:

c. economic damages YES: ✓ NO: UNKNOWN:

d. non-economic damages YES: ✓ NO: UNKNOWN:

26. Identify all known insurance coverage, including excess coverage, under which an insurance business may be liable to satisfy all or part of a possible judgment in the action or to indemnify or reimburse for payments made to satisfy the judgment:

Defendant Insurance Carrier Coverage Limits

Defendant 1: Lyft, Inc. United Financial Cas. Co. \$1 million

Defendant 2:

Please provide the Declaration(s) Page, if available, to all counsel prior to the Conference.

27. At this time, are you aware of issues as to the applicability of the above insurance coverage?

YES NO N/A

If you stated "YES" or "N/A", please explain:

28. Other than the parties, identify by name and, if known, the address and telephone number of each individual likely to have discoverable information—along with the subjects of that information—that the disclosing party may use to support its claims or defenses, unless the use would be solely for impeachment. Counsel should demonstrate reasonable effort in identifying witnesses.

Lyft is not aware of any other witnesses

Individual 1 - Name, address and telephone number of person and subject of discoverable information:

Individual 2 - Name, address and telephone number of person and subject of discoverable information:



IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF PHILADELPHIA COUNTY FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA TRIAL DIVISION – CIVIL

LEELIKE VS BARDOWSKY ETAL July Term 2022 No. 00364

CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER STANDARD TRACK DOCKETED
TRIAL DIVISION - CIVIL
19-OCT-2022

B. LAWLOR

AND NOW, Wednesday, October 19, 2022, it is Ordered that:

- 1. The case management and time standards adopted for standard track cases shall be applicable to this case and are hereby incorporated into this Order.
- 2. All *discovery* on the above matter shall be completed not later than *02-OCT-2023*.
- 3. *Plaintiff* shall identify and submit *curriculum vitae and expert reports* of all expert witnesses intended to testify at trial to all other parties not later than *02-OCT-2023*.
- 4. **Defendant and any additional defendants** shall identify and submit *curriculum vitae and expert* reports of all expert witnesses intended to testify at trial not later than 06-NOV-2023.
- 5. All *pre-trial motions* shall be filed not later than *06-NOV-2023*.
- 6. A *settlement conference* may be scheduled at any time after *06-NOV-2023*. Prior to the settlement conference all counsel shall serve all opposing counsel and file a settlement memorandum containing the following:
 - (a). A concise summary of the nature of the case if plaintiff or of the defense if defendant or additional defendant;
 - (b). A statement by the plaintiff or all damages accumulated, including an itemization of injuries and all special damages claimed by categories and amount;
 - (c). Defendant shall identify all applicable insurance carriers, together with applicable limits of liability.
- 7. A *pre-trial conference* will be scheduled any time after *02-JAN-2024*. Fifteen days prior to pre-trial conference, all counsel shall serve all opposing counsel and file a pre-trial memorandum containing the following:

- (a). A concise summary of the nature of the case if plaintiff or the defense if defendant or additional defendant;
- (b). A list of all witnesses who may be called to testify at trial by name and address. Counsel should expect witnesses not listed to be precluded from testifying at trial;
- (c). A list of all exhibits the party intends to offer into evidence. All exhibits shall be pre-numbered and shall be exchanged among counsel prior to the conference. Counsel should expect any exhibit not listed to be precluded at trial;
- (d). Plaintiff shall list an itemization of injuries or damages sustained together with all special damages claimed by category and amount. This list shall include as appropriate, computations of all past lost earnings and future lost earning capacity or medical expenses together with any other unliquidated damages claimed; and
- (e). Defendant shall state its position regarding damages and shall identify all applicable insurance carriers, together with applicable limits of liability;
- (f). Each counsel shall provide an estimate of the anticipated length of trial.
- 8. *It is expected that the case will be ready for trial 05-FEB-2024*, and counsel should anticipate trial to begin expeditiously thereafter.
- 9. All counsel are under a continuing obligation and are hereby ordered to serve a copy of this order upon all unrepresented parties and upon all counsel entering an appearance subsequent to the entry of this Order.

DANIEL ANDERS, J.	
DANIEL ANDERS. J.	
DANIEL ANDERS. J.	
DANIEL ANDERS. J.	

RV THE COURT.

BPL97677(REV 11/04)